



The Conservative Underground



Vol. 2 Issue 29

“Printing what they don’t want you to see,
Teaching what they don’t want you to know”

10 November 2009

© Copyright 2009 Individual authors retain copyright to their own submissions

In This Issue:

Over 40 Dead and Wounded Soldiers at Fort Hood, Can We Start Calling them Terrorists Again?	p. 1
Death By Political Correctness	p. 3
None Dare Call it Heresy (Part 2)	p. 6
Representatives or Delegates?	p. 9
Those Blasted Erotomatic Lizard Brains!	p. 10
The United States is Only a Superpower Because it is a Superpower Militarily	p. 10
Veteran's Day	p. 11
A Tribute to Our Men and Women in Uniform, Bravely Serving Their Country	p. 13

In this issue, Joe Clarke wonders when we'll start treating our enemies like enemies, while Tim Dunkin warns us that political correctness could be the death of us all. Dr. Albert Anderson concludes his demonstration of the heresy of compromise with evolution, and Carla Harper points out an important distinction of terms that the Left tries to exploit. Linda Kimball doesn't feel a bit sorry for poor, oppressed atheists trying to wage a legal jihad against public expressions of religion, while Joe Clarke shows us, once again, why the United States must maintain a preponderant military superiority. Carla Harper recounts her resolve to continue to believe in America despite the results of election day last year, and the issue closes with a Veteran's Day tribute to the men and women serving in the armed forces of the United States of America.

Over 40 Dead And Wounded Soldiers At Fort Hood, Can We Start Calling Them Terrorists Again?

By Joe Clarke

"Obama's team has outlawed the word 'terrorism' and replaced it with 'man made catastrophe'" August 2009.

I hate to paint an entire religion with a five inch wide paint brush, but may I use a two-and-a-half inch brush to say that there are a whole lot of Moslems, both domestic and abroad, who don't like our country, and especially one of our favorite religions, i.e. Christianity?

New York, May 23, 2007 (ANI): One in four young American Muslims believe suicide bombings against innocent civilians is fine if Islam has to be defended.

Another poll has shown that "...only 35% of American Muslims stated that the decision for military action in Afghanistan was the right one and just 12% supported the use of military force in Iraq."

So, what we have is as many anti-American military Moslems as we do self-loathing, proud white liberals opposing, not just our current military actions, but America itself. American Muslims have developed, very much like African-Americans, their own culture within a culture as cultivated by liberal diversity, so as to make null the idea of one nation under God - or even under the Constitution.

The way the media has sobbed over the Psycho Shrink Killer, Moslem Major Hasan, one can only conclude that there is some sort of supernatural evil directing such irrational behavior and empathy for natural born killers. And by natural born killers, I am referring to those who have such a penchant for slaughter and bloodshed from their very beginnings in the 7th century when a certain ethnic group headed by Mohammad "struck the neck" of 700 Jews in Medina. It is remarkable that one religion believes in the one Sacrifice of His Son offered by God to atone for the sins of men, while another believes in the sacrificial decapitation of infidels in order to please the Almighty.

We don't really have a chance (maybe a prayer) against Moslems aligned with the Communist liberal media, which historically has

been the cause of much bloodshed against "infidels," or those who believe in the God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob, and Jesus. Case in point is the soon-to-be released TV movie *2012* in which world landmarks are destroyed during a game of global demolition derby. The movie's maker, gay activist Roland Emmerich, has remarked that he was afraid of having an Islamic *fatwa* on his head, so he decided only to destroy Christian churches and landmarks.

Liberal churches are joined at the unholy hip with the above alliance, and have become more anti-Christian than the Moslem/Progressive parties combined. See how liberal churches are not only wanting to rid government of any godly influence, they are actively petitioning the FCC to "kill conservative talk radio" - Michelle Malkin. If ever there was a time for The Antichrist to make an appearance, I would think now is the opportune time when so many around the globe (and, I'm talking to you, Nobel Prize Committee) have been deceived - out of their gourds, from Global Warmulists to America's own Kill The Economy Kommunists.

Islam is permitted to have state funded Moslem public schools; they are generally given greater media preference over Christianity in Hollywood, they are given special foot-washing facilities in fire stations; they are super protected and revered by lily-livered European countries, one of which has even banned Michael Savage for "defaming Islam," (speaking the truth); and Moslems who are caught killing our soldiers on the battlefield must be given special prison facilities, and rights, and lawyers that few American citizens can afford.

The state controlled media has all but overlooked those brave soldiers who volunteered to join the military because of patriotism and/or

the need for a job in this Obamaconomy, instead focusing on that "poor, harassed," Post Traumatic Stressed Islamic zealot, who should not have even been in the military, or, at least, should have been screened out (discharged) once he was found out to be an argumentative jihadist residing with and counseling soldiers with whom he loved to share his faith - all the while he was giving them psychiatric consultations!

Oh no, you dare not question to offend an Islamic man who was an in-your-face Moslem, or you could be court martialed. I think that's the way it works in the new and unimproved Politically Correct military, where your enemies should be blessed so much, so that they are encouraged to do you evil. Isn't that one of the libs' favorite distortions of the Golden Rule? By the way, why was that America-despiser Noam Chomsky requested to speak at the Military Academy at West Point?

Hey, Pentagon and Department of Defense, how about refraining from socially engineering our United States Armed Forces, so as to take them from being the #1 fighting force in the world to the most demoralized military in the world? By the way, take a lesson from the Catholic Church, which allowed nuns and psychiatrists in the 1940s to herd homosexuals into the priesthood. There is a great book by the Catholic author Michael Rose entitled *Goodbye, Good Men*.

I am not thinking too much about the terrorist Major Hasan who shot in hot blood our honorable military men and women at Fort Hood. I am not thinking about the totally corrupt and reprobate media that is wanting to sympathize with Major Hasan's Post Traumatic Stress (before he even went to war?), and I am not wanting to think about Major Hasan's family who has called him a "good American." I am also not thinking very



Find out what's worth reading at [Worth Reading](#) – the site that *they* don't want you to know about!

much about Hasan's shouting "Allah Is Great," as he went through several clips of 5.7 mm bullets, firing maybe 100 rounds into defenseless defenders of our country, nor am I thinking that Hasan hated to go to Iraq (although he was a trained soldier). I refuse to think what an Obama supporter Hasan was, and I cannot even contemplate his working in Homeland Security.

As a young Marine, 40 years ago, I nervously awaited transport to Vietnam from a similar processing center as the equally nervous Fort Hood soldiers did this past week during the worse attack on a military post in history.

I hope the Commander-In-Chief will dedicate a special memorial to these Americans who died at Fort Hood, even as the Lord prepares a special place for Major Hasan.

The only thing that has interrupted our national mourning for the troops was Nancy Pelosi's health bill. It couldn't have been planned that way, could it?

I am thinking about so many other potential renegade jihadists abiding in our United States who have been provoked to hate this land they have migrated to, not only by their religion, but especially by that rabidly destructive American liberal element wanting to advance every Democrat evil under the sun.



“May no soldier go unloved....”

Please visit Soldiersangels.org and consider adopting a wounded American hero! November's mission is in support of Fort Hood, for those and the families of those who were killed or wounded last week. Additionally, there are numerous special projects that you can donate to that will provide comfort and support to those who are serving to defend OUR freedom!

Death by Political Correctness

By Tim Dunkin

Faddish, trendy America is a nation that is perpetually ripe for the introduction into the mainstream of our culture of just about any and every bad idea. Some of these ideas, while kooky, are relatively harmless. Others, however, are dangerous and destructive, not only to our culture, but even to the very stability of our society.

One of these destructively bad ideas is *political correctness*, also known as “PC.” PC originated as a fashionable left-wing idea back in the 1980s, infecting the halls of learning on the nation’s college campuses. PC is actually an application of the broader anti-rational and destructive academic trend known as *deconstructionism*, a method of investigation that seeks to undermine and destroy confidence in absolutes of any sort (whether in the realm of literature, philosophy, theology, sociology or any other) and replace them with subjectivism. For instance, a deconstructionist approach to a literary text would say that there is no one meaning intended by the text’s author. Instead, the text is a mass of contradictions that cannot be relied upon to provide insight into what the author meant, therefore the deconstructionist critic is free to more or less invent whatever meaning he wants out of the “contradictions” inherent in the text. This method was pioneered by the French philosopher Jacques Derrida, but ultimately traces back through the Nazi philosopher Martin Heidegger to Friedrich Nietzsche.

As an application of this critical approach, political correctness originally had as its fundamental premise the belief that all cultures, all civilizations, all ways of life are equally valid. Under the dictates of political correctness, one cannot say, for instance, that modern Western society - with its emphasis on the freedom of the individual, protected natural liberties, and its advanced technology and standard of living – is superior to other societies in which barbarism, backwardness, and tyranny are the norms. Indeed, to even describe these other societies using terms like “barbarian” or “backward” is a gross heresy to the PC multiculturalists.

As the idea took hold, PC broadened from the realm of revisionist anthropology into a

general understanding that nothing can be said to be better than anything else (except, of course, everything else being better than the capitalism and Christianity of dead straight white male slaveholders from long ago). All of the underpinnings of Western civilization must be deconstructed, called into question and shown to be hollow and vain. Patriarchy and the traditional family are evil, while postmodern feminism is good. Marriage is just a construct designed to enslave womyn into a system of organized rape and exploitation. Homosexuality is just as legitimate of a choice as heterosexuality. So is polygyny. So is bestiality or any number of other perversions. Indeed, all of Western civilization and the traditional social elements upon which it is built are nothing but colonialist, capitalist, and Christianist excuses for the exploitation of womyn, gays, and people of color, and should be opposed at every turn. Ultimately, by the time PC left the narrow confines of university classrooms and academic journals and reached the demotic point, this set of ideas had morphed into a general sense that nothing that is “offensive” towards any preferred minority or other social group should be tolerated.

Like most ideas from the Left, especially those that arose in the insulated ivory towers of academia, PC is ultimately a form of censorship and social oppression, designed not only to discourage certain activities, but even certain thoughts. I’ve mentioned before that the political and social Left form a knowledge-control cult. By that, I mean that they literally seek to control both the flow of knowledge *to* the individual, and subsequently the range of thought and expression allowed *from* the individual. Often, the means sought to punish wrong speech and thought is through government sanction. But when that is not feasible, recourse is sought through economic pressure, or even outright violence.

This is, of course, the direct antithesis to the freedom of speech that Americans have traditionally held dear. But see, freedom of speech is just another artifact of those dead white males who perpetuated the virus called “Western civilization,” so hey hey ho ho, like the rest of Western Civ, it has to go.

And go it has. We all know the examples that can be given. Say something seemingly innocuous at work, and the next thing you know, you’re in HR, with your job on the line. One case recently even saw a woman with a small American

flag on her own desk hauled up for “insensitivity” based on a complaint from a co-worker – not even a citizen, here on some type of work visa - who was from some America-hating Middle Eastern rathole. The modern workplace has become a bastion of the politically correct bureaucrat, as businesses seek to soften their image by presenting a “tolerant” and “diverse” face to the public. PC serves to make the American workplace a distinctly unpleasant place to be if you’re not a constant complainer.

Of course, if you try speaking the truth on any number of issues on a college campus, expect to be pilloried. PC is definitely detrimental to the ability of non-radical leftist students to obtain a quality education. It’s to the point where scholarly writers even have to use code words and roundabout to discuss things like crime statistics (since, well, certain protected groups tend to contribute more to these than do others), population genetics, IQ, differences between the genders and the races, etc. lest they be punished by the PC enforcers. Just ask Larry Summers, who had impeccable leftist credentials until his unfortunate neanderthalish incident back in 2005, when he suggested that men and womyn had different aptitudes at certain academic subjects, after which he was hounded until he was forced to resign as the president of Harvard University.

The PC crowd isn’t even above violence. There have been incidents on American campuses where rampaging gangs of leftist and/or minority students have trashed, burned, and vandalized student newspapers because of something un-PC that was written in them. And of course, the gay mafia has emerged as one of the more aggressive perpetrators of PC-induced hostility. In California,

Free Republic – The best conservative forum on the internet! Sign in today and start getting informed! – <http://www.freerepublic.com>



supporters of Prop 8 – which banned gay marriage in 2008 and which was supported by many Obama voters – have been assaulted and terrorized. Churches have been attacked, and individual supporters of the initiative have had their livelihoods and lives threatened. The gay mafia has announced the same thing is to happen in Maine – where gay marriage was defeated by an even wider margin than in California – where representatives of “gay rights” groups have openly said that they’re going to “find out” who voted against gay marriage, presumably so they can “deal with them.”

Even beyond these examples, however, we see that political correctness has emerged, especially in the years following 9-11, as a *deadly* threat to Americans. How? By the fact that it hampers us from being able to defend ourselves against the existential threat to America posed by radical Muslim terrorists. Far from waking up and getting serious about dealing with the threat of Islamofascist terrorists, America has largely followed a course of politically correct head-in-the-sand relativism towards the people who would like nothing more than to destroy America and behead any who don’t convert to their particular version of [lunar idolatry](#). Even in the midst of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan – ostensibly being fought to destroy foreign supporters of terrorism – Bush was telling us “Islam is a peaceful religion.” The FBI – the people who are supposed to be investigating and stopping acts of terrorism on American soil – have relied upon the Council on American-Islamic Relations (CAIR), a group with high-ranking members who are known to have supported terrorist organizations, to train its field agents in “sensitivity.” The new watchword of the PC thugs is “Islamophobia,” which can be defined as “a willingness to speak the truth about the ugly aspects of Islam.”

So we see the PC infection having deadly results at Fort Hood, in Texas, where a Muslim terrorist masquerading as a U.S. Army Major ambushed and killed 12 unarmed American soldiers, and wounded 30 others. But wait – we can’t call it terrorism. Instead of calling a spade a spade, the news media concoct all kinds of stories to explain why Major Nidal Malik Hasan would have gone on his shooting rampage. Perhaps it was post-traumatic stress disorder – except that he had never been in combat. Maybe he just empathized with the soldiers with PTSD that he was counseling and broke from the stress – except that he reportedly spent many a counseling session

berating them for participating in “the war against Islam” instead of trying to help them through their psychological difficulties. Perchance Maj. Hasan is really the victim here, since at one point several years ago, some guy in his apartment complex allegedly tore a pro-jihad bumper sticker off his car and keyed it? Sure, since we all know that a shooting spree is the entirely appropriate response to a stolen bumper sticker and a keyed car. One thing that the media and the commentators and apparently the President of the United States himself are all agreed on is that we can’t “jump to conclusions” that radical Islamic beliefs had anything to do with a guy shouting “Allah Akbar” while shooting unarmed people.

How did this happen in the first place? Again, we see the prints from the handcuffs that political correctness places on common sense and right reason. Since the shooting, it has come out that the U.S. Army and the Department of Defense had plenty of warning signals about Maj. Hasan. He was open in his support of terrorist suicide bombers. He gave a medical lecture once to his colleagues that had little to do with medicine, *per se*, but a whole lot to do with what the Qur’an says about the anatomy of the necks of infidels who don’t convert to Islam. He had been reprimanded several times for trying to convert wounded and traumatized combat veterans to a radical form of Islam, and rebuking them when they didn’t. For crying out loud, the guy had tried to contact *al-Qaeda*. What’s worse – the powers that be *knew* about all of this, but concluded somehow that they didn’t have to watch out for him since he didn’t “seem violent”. Sudden jihad syndrome never does, until it happens. That’s why we are supposed to have seemingly intelligent people piecing together clues like these so that threats can be recognized before they “seem violent.”

But no – because Maj. Hasan is (unfortunately, I still have to use the present tense when referring to him) Muslim, these warning signs had to be ignored. This is for the same reason that TSA personnel at airports spend so much time frisking and wanding sweet little Lutheran grannies while waving through glowering Muslim guys with full beards wearing shirts with Arabic writing all over them. Because we don’t want to “stereotype.” That might offend somebody. Muslims are one of the protected classes in the PC hierarchy. When a Muslim commits an act of terror on American soil, we need to be more concerned about the potential for “backlash” against the Muslim community than we



Renew America (<http://www.renewamerica.com/>) is a grassroots organization that supports the self-evident truths found in the Declaration of Independence, and their faithful application through upholding the U.S. Constitution, as written. Its purpose, therefore, is to thoughtfully and courageously advance the cause of our nation's Founders.

are the families and lives of the victims of the terrorism. In the case of Nidal Malik Hasan, the Army bureaucracy – which is as thoroughly politically correctified as any corporate headquarters in America – couldn't "appear" to be picking on him because of his religion. That's only allowed when a Christian chaplain prays in the name of Jesus, don't you know?

Political correctness has crossed a threshold in recent years. It has gone from being an obnoxious annoyance to being a very real threat to our very lives. When our own government abrogates its responsibility to deal with *known terrorist sympathizers* lest it offend him or one of his radical buddies, you know that a critical point has been reached where we need to start pushing back, even if means some massive civil disobedience in resistance to the stupidity being foisted off onto us. Certainly, it's time for conservatives to stand up and stop being silent in the face of this theft of our free speech and ability to live lives free from either harassment or terrorism.

We need to identify and support leaders who are not only ideologically conservative, who not only support the Constitution, but who are also *leaders* who will be willing to stand up and buck the PC trend, even if means taking some flack from the talking heads and Hollywood-types. If we want to keep our freedom of speech and our ability to dissent from the leftist propaganda that passes as "news" and "education" today, then we better stand up now and start speaking out. What do we say? Whatever we want to, that's what. That's what free speech is all about. It's about not letting ourselves be cowed into not saying certain things because somebody might be offended, or even try to punish us for it. If they try, then fight right back whatever way you can. Don't let them get away with it. It's not until we, as conservatives, get serious about shoving the PC police back that we'll truly enjoy our freedom to speak our minds again. And even more, we have to demand that our government, our leaders, our military, our appointed guardians of peace and

public order start getting serious about stopping the enemy of our freedoms, instead of coddling him.

None Dare Call it Heresy (Part 2)

By Albert S. Anderson, M.D.

[Dr. Anderson's article continues from the last issue of *Conservative Underground*]

Such great theologians as Charles and A. A. Hodge and B. B. Warfield of Princeton Theological Seminary and W.G.T. Shedd of Union Theological Seminary allowed for the possibility of long ages before the creation of Adam, missing the fact that such thinking denies the deity of Jesus Christ. Since these men are greatly respected for the rest of their theological work, and rightly so, their weakness in this area of creation is also respected. Since heresy is the road to apostasy, this weakness on their part has greatly contributed to the apostasy of these seminaries and others as well.

Even the trustworthy Bible commentator, E. J. Young, while maintaining that Genesis 1 is literal history, allowed for age-long creation days. Consequently, his son, Davis Young, accepted Lyell's uniformitarianism and presently teaches Theistic Evolution at Calvin College, a school of the Christian Reformed Church (CRC) which has become so nearly apostate now that both the Presbyterian Church in America (PCA) and the Orthodox Presbyterian Church (OPC) found it necessary to sever ecclesiastical relations with the CRC in 1997.

As noted in a lecture by church historian, David B. Calhoun (in Greenville, South Carolina March 12, 1998), the 1886 General Assembly of the Southern [Presbyterian] church acted against the Theistic Evolution of Columbia Seminary, declaring that "Adam's body was directly fashioned by Almighty God, without any animal parentage of any kind, out of matter previously

created from nothing.” Today, such a denominational declaration is also needed to combat the heresies of Theistic Evolution, Progressive Creation, and their enabler, the Framework Hypothesis.

In a sermon on “Trust,” delivered in Tremont Temple, Boston, Massachusetts, February 10, 1897, Dwight L. Moody, referring to the God of the Bible, said:

“Now put your confidence in one who has never betrayed a confidence in six thousand years; who has never betrayed a trust in all these centuries, never has and never will.”

Despite this evidence that Mr. Moody believed that the creation occurred only 6,000 years ago, he too apparently missed the heresy of views that deny the deity of Jesus Christ by placing ages between the beginning of the creation and the creation of Adam and Eve. He allowed the fiery English evangelist, Henry Drummond, to participate in his Northfield Conferences in Massachusetts despite the fact that Mr. Drummond was a theistic evolutionist. Mr. Moody’s pastor, who delivered the sermon at his funeral in the Congregational Church of Northfield, Massachusetts, was none other than C. I. Scofield, whose Bible reference notes, promoting a ruinous gap between Genesis 1:1 and 1:2, have prompted many Christians to place the fossil record in that gap in order to satisfy the claims of the secular, Lyellian geologists.

Perhaps the most outstanding contemporary progressive creationist is the astronomer, Dr. Hugh Ross, whose ministry, *Reasons to Believe*, has affected such influential Christians as Dr. James Dobson. Hugh Ross’ books are sold widely in Christian bookstores, thus affecting many Bible-believing Christians. Besides the teaching of Theistic Evolution at Calvin College by the geologist, Dr. Davis Young, Progressive Creation is taught at Wheaton College by the biologist, Dr. Pattle P. T. Pun. Even Billy Graham has promoted the secular “Big Bang” hypothesis of origins in his ministry. Yes, if heresy prosper, none dare call it heresy!

Many pastors, particularly in New England, are graduates of Gordon-Conwell Seminary where they were taught by Meredith Kline, proponent of the Framework Hypothesis. This hypothesis uses the beautiful, literary framework of Genesis 1 (Day 1, light, is to Day 4,

luminaries, as Day 2, firmament, is to Day 5, firmament creatures, as day 3, land, is to Day 6, land creatures) to negate the literal, historical chronology of the six, successive, 24-hour days of creation in order to allegorize it into the long ages of uniformitarian Theistic Evolution.

Is it any wonder that the Gospel preached in many of our churches today is so weak, leading to “easy-believism” without a real commitment to the commandments of Jesus Christ, Whose deity has subtly been denied by such attempts to harmonize the Genesis creation account with the false-prophet teachings of evolutionist-humanist, secular scientists? If heresy prosper, none dare call it heresy!

Then there are those Christians who speculate that the length of the first three days of creation need not be restricted to that of the normal, solar days we experience because the means to measure time as we know it, i.e. the sun, moon and stars, were not created until Day 4. The answer to that speculation is easy. Time, itself, was created on Day 1: “In the beginning” is the statement of that fact, presenting the three-fold nature of time, past, present and future. It was not time, but the time keepers, that were created on Day 4, and the same Hebrew word for day, *yom*, is used for the first three days of creation as for the last three days of creation.

Yom carries the same meanings as our English word, day. It can mean 12 hours of daylight, as opposed to night, as in Genesis 1:5, “And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night,” or as in Genesis 8:22, “While the earth remaineth, seed time and harvest, and cold and heat, and summer and winter, and day and night shall not cease,” or as Christ used it [speaking Hebrew] in John 11 :9, “. . . Are there not twelve hours in the day?” It can mean an unspecified period of time as in Genesis 2:4, “. . .in the day that the LORD God made the earth and the



heavens,” much like we speak of “the day of George Washington” in referring to that era. But, whenever it is preceded by a numeral, as in Genesis 1:5, 8, 13, 19, 23, and 31, or as we might refer to the first day of George Washington’s presidency, it always means a normal, 24-hour-type day. As if to emphasize the fact that all the six days of creation were such normal days without variation, God inspired Moses to write that each day had an evening and a morning. That expression does not apply to eras or ages at all, even for the first three days of creation.

Furthermore, when preceded by a numeral, the Hebrew plural form for day, *yamim*, also always describes a normal, 24-hour-type day, just as in English we refer to 24-hour days when we speak of seven days in a week, 30 days in a month or 365 days in a year. In Exodus 20:11, for example, God sets the standard for our work week and Sabbath, “For in six days (*yamim*) the LORD made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day (*yom*): wherefore the LORD blessed the sabbath day, and hallowed it.”

Both the plural (*yamim*) and singular (*yom*) forms of this statement are preceded by a numeral (six and seventh); so Exodus 20:11 irrefutably confirms the fact that the whole creation, visible and invisible, from the beginning in Genesis 1:1 through Adam and Eve in Genesis 1:27, was accomplished in six, successive, 24-hour-type days, followed by a 24-hour-type-day Sabbath. If the first three days of creation were ages or eras long, so should Sunday, Monday and Tuesday of each week be, but God’s inspired Word makes no allowance for such change. Furthermore, there is a better Hebrew word than *yom* for era or age; it is *olam*, which God would have used had He intended to describe long, unspecified periods of time rather than numbered, normal days of creation.

Also, from a scientific point of view, if Day 3 had been ages long, no photosynthesizing plant life could have survived. Plants were created on Day 3, but sunlight necessary for photosynthesis was not present until Day 4. And even if the sunless light of the first three days could have sufficed for photosynthesis, the insects needed for the reproduction of the flowering plants that have pollen too heavy, thick and sticky to be wind-borne would not have been present during an age-long Day 3, because they were not created

until Day 5 and/or 6. Even plants attest to the deity of Jesus Christ! Why don’t we?

No theologian before the advent of Hutton, Lyell and Darwin attempted to place ages in days or gaps between Genesis 1:1 and 1:27. Why do we now seek ways to misinterpret the creation account and accept false teachings prompted by their advent just because some respected theologians taught them? There are other, equally respected theologians since then who did not capitulate to Hutton, Lyell and Darwin, the false prophets among the people of secular, humanistic “science.” Examples include Robert L. Dabney, H. C. Leupold, Louis Berkhof and William Hendriksen.

According to Dabney, Romans 1 explains that the purpose of creation is to reveal the existence of God to man and, thus, to bring God glory from man. Therefore, Dabney continues, there is no reason for creation to exist for long periods of time before man is created if man is not there to understand it and thus glorify God.

Dabney also argues that the creation account of six, 24-hour days is the rebuttable presumption based on the plain language of Genesis 1, the Ten Commandments, and the Westminster Confession of Faith. The burden of proof rests upon those who attempt to rebut this preliminary assumption which is based upon the infallibility of the Bible and the independence and sufficiency of its own laws of interpretation. Before an alternative view can be considered seriously, one must demonstrate by strict scientific proofs, not that the alternative view may be true, but that it alone can be the true one. Since the secular, humanistic scientists and the many who follow their pernicious ways are unable to accomplish this proof and thus demonstrate that the universe could not have been created in six, 24-hour days with no gaps before or between them, the presumption stands unrebutted, and the alternative view must be rejected.

Why do we not measure all teachings by Scripture, as did the Bereans of Acts 17:10,11, so that we will not accept heresy and risk apostasy? I submit that it is because the world is so much with us that we fear being regarded as anti-intellectual. Hence, we lose our focus on Jesus Christ and submit ourselves to the worldly wisdom of 1 Corinthians 1:17-21. Thus heresy prospers, and none dare call it heresy! Until we repent and believe what Moses wrote and thus restore

unwavering respect for our great God and Saviour, Jesus Christ, we can expect only continued judgment upon His church and society. Instead, why not just trust Jesus Christ today and take Him at His Word! (John 5:47)

Soli Deo Gloria!

Dr. Albert S. Anderson earned his medical degree at New York Medical College and completed his residency in Internal Medicine at the University of Michigan Medical Center. He has served in the United States Air Force, has taught college-level biological sciences and continues in the practice of Internal Medicine, specializing in Allergy and Corrective Nutrition, based on principles of Biblical Creation, in Taylors, SC. A former Vice-President and Board Member of the Bible-Science Association (now Creation Moments) of Minneapolis, MN, Dr. Anderson serves as President of the Creation Health Foundation and maintains a Bible-Science Library as part of his medical office. A founding member of the Creation Study Group of Greenville, SC, he continues to serve on its Board of Directors. This group meets regularly at the Second Presbyterian Church where Dr Anderson is a Ruling Elder. Among his other published works is his essay “Magnificent Miracle: The Virgin Conception of Jesus Christ,” appearing in the Creation Research Society Quarterly, 29:89-97.

Representatives or Delegates

By Carla Harper

Many use the terms *representative* and *delegate* interchangeably, but in practice they result in very different outcomes. Edmund Burke, Anglo-Irish statesman and philosopher, stated the classic definition of representative in a famous speech to the British Parliament in 1774. Soviet (*soviet* is the Russian word for “council”) Russia defined both in terms and practice, the meaning of “delegates” most precisely. The United States is a representative democracy, but many elected officials have come to act more like delegates than representatives. Could this strategy backfire in 2010 elections and beyond, starting with the New Jersey gubernatorial race?

In that 1774 oratory, Edmund Burke laid out the position of a representative to the constituent, in what has become a “textbook”

definition. He elaborates on the importance of listening to and respecting the constituent: “[his] wishes ought to have great weight with him; their opinion high respect; their business unremitting attention.” Next, he provides the all-important balance, “But his unbiased opinion, his mature judgment, his enlightened conscience, he ought not to sacrifice to you; to any man, or to any set of men living... Your representative owes you, not his industry only, but his judgment; and he betrays you, instead of serving you, if he sacrifices it to your opinion.”

A web search for satisfactory definitions of a delegate kept turning up postings by Ken Knabb, an extensively published anarchist. His website, *Bureau of Public Secrets*, provides good insight into the delegate, “In the context of radical struggles, delegate assemblies have usually been termed ‘councils.’” He documents how the Soviet councils were co-opted by the Bolsheviks and manipulated. Knabb says, “Councils have nevertheless continued to reappear spontaneously at the most radical moments in subsequent history, in Germany, Italy, Spain, Hungary and elsewhere, because they represent the obvious solution to the need for a practical form of nonhierarchical popular self-organization....”

Ivor Thomas (1905–1993), author of *The Socialist Tragedy* and reformed Social Democrat, who served eight years as a member of the British Parliament, provides the most concise distinction. “The difference between a delegate and a representative is that a delegate is instructed by those who have appointed him how he shall vote and in what sense he shall speak; but a representative accepts no such instructions and uses his own judgment according to his [conscious and experience, and votes after listening to the arguments put forward], on every question brought before the assembly of which he is a member.”

Pollsters registered shock recently that a relatively unknown Independent candidate, Chris Daggett, can claim fourteen percent of the vote in what had become a nasty run between Gov. Jon Corzine (D) and former U.S. Attorney Chris Christie (R) in New Jersey. Call it the “Ralph Nader Effect” or something else, but Independent candidates will predictably ooze out of the woodwork over the next year. Americans are not as stupid as some intellectuals and pundits like to portray; as the saying goes, “I might be dumb, but I am not stupid.” For all the faults, flaws and warts of the unique American Republic, we still can’t come up with something better, and we prefer representatives to delegates, thank you very much.

Those Blasted Erotomatic Lizard Brains!

By Linda Kimball

In the article, "Atheists Say Prayer Makes Them Physically Sick," author Bob Unruh reports, "Atheists recruited to be part of a lawsuit that is trying to rid government ceremonies such as the inauguration of a President of any invocation or other prayer have claimed they are made physically ill by prayer." (<http://www.wnd.com/index.php?fa=PAGE.view&pageId=112261>)


Poor, poor atheists. The emergent product of evolution, their soupmix of totalitarian primordial genes - everything from slime-mold to sea-weed and lizards, dung beetles to koo-koo birds and bonobo chimps - completely control atheist trousered apes by way of a tri-partite brain consisting of a primordial lizard brain, a dog brain, and if lucky, a more highly evolved ape or *homo sapien* brain.

There is no doubt in my mind that it's those dratted ancient lizard brains - the cause of all erotomaniacal wrong-doing - that are behind this outbreak of gastrointestinal distress caused by prayer.

Whoever would've guessed that ancient lizards were so, er, uh....aggressively erotomaniac? Why one poor "gay" trousered ape was even forced by his pornographic lizard brain to whine, "My stomach did a somersault with disgust."

Linda Kimball is a writer and author of numerous published articles and essays on culture, politics, and worldview. She is a member of the New Media Alliance, Grassroots.org, and MoveOff.

Would you like to read more of Linda Kimball's essays on liberty and tradition?
Visit <http://patriotsandliberty.com/>



The United States is Only a Superpower Because it is a Superpower Militarily

By Joe Clarke

Many of my Ron Paul "Paul Bearer" friends have a right to be disgusted with the Republican Party, i.e. especially the Lindsay Graham and John McCain left wing of the party, and they, of course, want to not only End The Fed, according to Paul's book, but would also like to see the U.S. end its "unconstitutional" nose-poking into other country's affairs. Get out of Afghanistan and Iraq before they are secure, stop funding Afghanistan, cut off Israel and some Arab states from foreign aid, then all will be well under God's heaven, and we would only take care of ourselves.

This would more quickly end our lone superpower status which rose after winning WWII and even more so after beating the Soviet Union (thanks to God, and Ronaldus Magnus with a little help from his friends, including the Pope). Because we have been victorious militarily (and through CIA covert actions) around the world, our hegemony has been unrivaled, despite the liberal Democrats' hard charging to lose wars from Korea, through Vietnam and Latin America, to the Iraq/Afghanistan wars.

The American dollar has only been the dominating denomination of currency in the world for the past 100 years because of the U.S.'s military might and because of the United States' resolve to maintain some semblance of world order - when no one else would dare. We have not been the "world's policeman" because we have not been involved in even 5% of the world's conflicts, uprisings, and turmoil. Of these, the vast majority, lately, have been perpetrated by the Islamic world, and not because we have been so cruel to them at Gitmo. They just want to cause trouble, and unfortunately, it has only been the United States that has had enough integrity to slap them down.

President Obama should not be described as "Walking Softly, Carrying A Big Stick," since it would illegitimately liken him to Teddy Roosevelt who was an experienced trooper, and who actually carried big sticks (and rifles), therefore, giving him the military experience and *gravitas* to effect a little godly fear in the hearts of America haters, at home and abroad. Obama's *rough riding* was mainly riling up ACORN types in Chicago so as to shake down the government for mo' money and free houses.



Fire a shot for freedom with Molly's Cannons – <http://www.mollyscannons.com>

Isolationism is not Constitutional as the Constitution allows for the "common defense" of the United States and its possessions. Why should we not care if American people or companies are harassed or hampered by foreign powers when they have legitimate business overseas? The same if they are only a potential nuclear threat, or murdering en masse their own countrymen. The Monroe Doctrine was not merely some esoteric, philosophical dream concocted so than the U.S. could become that "dreaded imperialist empire" as Pat Buchanan and Ron Paul have alluded to. I do admit that wrong-headed governors, such as those in the Obama administration, could misuse America's interventionist policies, and try to take over the world after attempting to take over the citizens of the United States, but for the most part, American Presidents have been very judicious (if not always perfect) in their "correcting/intervening" in other nations political matters.

You don't hear any complaints about the CIA aiding Greece immediately after WWII, which could easily have become another Soviet satellite, do you?

There are many examples, but the point I am attempting to drive home is that the American Dollar is now the world's fiat currency, because of our strategic and economic strength. If we throw our foreign involvements, investments, and military aid to the wind, we lose our superpower status, only to be replaced by European/Chinese/Russian contenders.

The Democrats have so hurt our economy, energy sources, and social establishment that we are going down fast. Europe's Gross National Product has surpassed us for the first time in recent history, and that is astounding, considering that they have all those multi-cultured, multi-tongued people forming more of a "perfect union" than the "United" States.

George Washington warned against

"foreign entanglements," but even he recognized that there must be some foreign entangling in order to put down the Islamic terrorists of his day - the Barbary Pirates (though it was actually Jefferson who finally drew the sword on them).

Veteran's Day

By Carla Harper

Twenty-four hours before Election Day, Sally the cat came home. Her protracted absence weighed heavy on my heart and tested my belief in things hoped for but not seen. Maggie, my eight year old, never once faltered in her belief that Sally would be OK; she would come home. I learn something new about faith and life and love everyday from her. Sally's disappearance and the sadness I felt for her loss seemed to heighten and even embody the emotions I had about the upcoming election. In those four weeks she was absent amid the constant barrage of election mania, my feelings ranged from fear and sadness, resignation and disbelief to hope and excitement. I enjoyed a lot of emails and discussion from people on both sides of the debate even though many of the comments confounded me. While I still don't understand how someone over 40 with any connection to the private sector could cast a vote for a person with a long list of shady associations and whose ideology compared to the previous 230 some years of American history is radically socialist, the unquestioning support for him forced me to seek understanding in a deep way. I stopped trying to be understood and got viscerally engaged in making sense of what others saw, heard, and felt.

Sally's silhouette in the window Monday morning caused us to cheer and me to cry as I rocked her in my arms and felt so thankful that God had answered yet another simple prayer. Hope had returned, and I spent half of the next day working at the polls and watching a record number turn out to peacefully cast their vote one way or

the other. Some confided in me that this was in fact the first time they had ever voted in their lives. Apathy is not a word in the lexicon describing this election. Despite knowing in my gut the outcome that evening before anything official began to appear, I felt a peace. I sensed that this is how it is meant to be for better or worse. I sat down the burden that required me to make sense of the motives, the thought processes, and even the contempt in some cases. Of course that peace has not remained steady but random wise people and events have shown up to reassure me over and over.

Today, on Veteran's Day, it was the words of a young soldier twice returned now from Iraq and the eerie sound of Taps played without accompaniment on a trumpet beside a small but honorable veteran's memorial that did it for me. I felt OK again and being there affirmed my resolve.

I have resolved, despite my reservations about this new administration with promises to do things ranging from the impossible to the absurd in my mind, to not be bitter or resentful. I will not stand by wishing for their failure as so many did over the last four years. I will not speak disparaging words about our new President at home or abroad. I will not coddle those that do. I will pray for him each day just like I have for the current President. I will respect the will of the popular and electoral vote. I will continue to volunteer in civic activities. I will fight for a recovery of the America I have enjoyed since birth in hope that my kid and others get the same privilege. I will no longer assume someone else is responsible for making my community or country a great place. For this awakening, I am grateful for what happened this election season.



The N.C. Children's Promise Radiothon/Telethon is UNC Health Care's largest single fundraising event each year. Held in conjunction with Curtis Media Group and Time Warner Cable, this signature fall event raises funds to benefit children's programs and further support the continuation of excellence within the medical and surgical programs housed at North Carolina Children's Hospital.

North Carolina Children's Hospital at UNC is a nationally recognized leader in cystic fibrosis and other respiratory disorders, hemophilia, sickle cell disease, cancer, heart disease and trauma, including severe burns. We also specialize in treating children with gastrointestinal disorders, infectious diseases and birth defects. It is our commitment to treat each child with the same level of excellence, regardless of their families' abilities to pay.

We are very proud of the center of excellence that we have become and thank you for taking an active role in making our community even better for the children and families we serve. [Click here for more information about N.C. Children's Hospital](#), or contact us toll-free at 1-866-9NC-KIDS (1-866-962-5437). To learn more about volunteer opportunities or sponsorship information please contact the N.C. Children's Promise office at (919) 843-3948.

2008's 7th annual N.C. Children's Promise Radiothon/Telethon raised more than \$1,000,000—a new benchmark year for us, made all the more poignant by the economic hardships that many currently face. We'll be back to raise more in 2009, so please mark your calendars now for Thursday, November 19, 2009. Listen. Watch. Pledge!

A Tribute to Our Men and Women in Uniform, Bravely Serving Their Country Past and Present – Some Gave All, All Gave Some

First of all, *Conservative Underground* would like to wish a very happy birthday to the few, the proud, the United States Marine Corps!



The Old Soldier and the Flag

Author Unknown

It is the soldier, not the reporter,
who has given us freedom of the press.

It is the soldier, not the poet,
who has given us freedom of speech.

It is the soldier, not the campus organizer,
who has given us the freedom to demonstrate.

It is the soldier, not the lawyer,
who has given us the right to a fair trial.

It is the soldier,
who salutes the flag,
who serves under the flag,
and whose coffin is draped by the flag,
who allows the protester to burn the flag.

A protest raged on a courthouse lawn,
Round a makeshift stage they charged on,
Fifteen hundred or more they say,
Had come to burn a Flag that day.

A boy held up the folded Flag,
Cursed it, and called it a dirty rag.
An OLD MAN pushed through the angry crowd,
With a rusty shotgun shouldered proud.

His uniform jacket was old and tight,
He had polished each button, shiny and bright.
He crossed that stage with a soldier's grace,
Until he and the boy stood face to face.

FREEDOM OF SPEECH; the OLD MAN said,

Is worth dying for, good men are dead,
So you can stand on this courthouse lawn,
And talk us down from dusk to dawn,
But before any Flag gets burned today,
This OLD MAN IS GOING TO HAVE HIS
SAY!!

My father died on a foreign shore,
In a war they said would end all war.
But Tommy and I wasn't even full grown,
Before we fought in a war of our own.
And Tommy died on Iwo Jima's beach,
In the shadow of a hill he couldn't quite reach
Where five good men raised this Flag so high,
That the WHOLE **** WORLD COULD SEE IT
FLY.

I got this bum leg that I still drag,
Fighting for this same old Flag.
Now there's but one shot in this old gun,
So now it's time to decide which one,
Which one of you will follow our lead,
To stand and die for what you believe?
For as sure as there is a rising sun,
You'll burn in Hell before this Flag burns, son.

Now this riot never came to pass.
The crowd got quiet and that can of gas,
Got set aside as they walked away
To talk about what they had heard this day.
And the boy who had called it a dirty rag,
Handed the OLD SOLDIER the folded Flag.

So the battle of the Flag this day was won
By a tired OLD SOLDIER with a rusty gun,
Who for one last time, had to show to some,
THIS FLAG MAY FADE, YET THESE
COLORS DON'T RUN

The American Military Man

Author Unknown

The average age of the military man is 19 years.

He is a short haired, tight-muscled kid who, under normal circumstances is considered by society as half man, half boy. Not yet dry behind the ears, not old enough to buy a beer, but old enough to die for his country.

He never really cared much for work and he would rather wax his own car than wash his father's; but he has never collected unemployment either.

He's a recent High School graduate; he was probably an average student, pursued some form of sport activities, drives a ten year old jalopy, and has a steady girlfriend that either broke up with him when he left, or swears to be waiting when he returns from half a world away.

He listens to rock and roll or hip-hop or rap or jazz or swing and 155mm Howitzers.

He is 10 or 15 pounds lighter now than when he was at home because he is working or fighting from before dawn to well after dusk.

He has trouble spelling, thus letter writing is a pain for him, but he can field strip a rifle in 30 seconds and reassemble it in less time in the dark.

He can recite to you the nomenclature of a machine gun or grenade launcher and use either one effectively if he must.

He digs foxholes and latrines and can apply first aid like a professional.

He can march until he is told to stop or stop until he is told to march.

He obeys orders instantly and without hesitation, but he is not without spirit or individual dignity.

He is self-sufficient. He has two sets of fatigues: he washes one and wears the other. He keeps his canteens full and his feet dry.

He sometimes forgets to brush his teeth, but never to clean his rifle.

He can cook his own meals, mend his own clothes, and fix his own hurts. If you're thirsty, he'll share his water with you: if you are hungry, his food.

He'll even split his ammunition with you in the midst of battle when you run low.

He has learned to use his hands like weapons and weapons like they were his hands. He can save your life -- or take it, because that is his job.

He will often do twice the work of a civilian, draw half the pay and still find ironic humor in it all. He has seen more suffering and death than he should have in his short lifetime.

He has stood atop mountains of dead bodies, and helped to create them.

He has wept in public and in private, for friends who have fallen in combat and is unashamed.

He feels every note of the National Anthem vibrate through his body while at rigid attention, while tempering the burning desire to "square-away" those around him who haven't bothered to stand, remove their hat, or even stop talking. In an odd twist, day in and day out, far from home, he defends their right to be disrespectful.

Just as did his Father, Grandfather, and Great-grandfather, he is paying the price for our freedom.

Beardless or not, he is not a boy.

He is the American Fighting Man that has kept this country free for over 200 years.

He has asked nothing in return, except our friendship and understanding. Remember him, always, for he has earned our respect and admiration with his blood.

The Men Behind the Guns

By John Jerome Rooney

A cheer and salute for the Admiral, and here 's to the Captain bold,

And never forget the Commodore's debt when the deeds of might are told!

They stand to the deck through the battle's wreck when the great shells roar and screech -

And never they fear when the foe is near to practice what they preach:

But off with your hat and three times three for Columbia's true-blue sons,

The men below who batter the foe - the men behind the guns!

Oh, light and merry of heart are they when they
 swing into port once more,
 When, with more than enough of the "green-
 backed stuff," they start for their leave-o'-shore;
 And you'd think, perhaps, that the blue-bloused
 chaps who loll along the street
 Are a tender bit, with salt on it, for some fierce
 "mustache" to eat -
 Some warrior bold, with straps of gold, who
 dazzles and fairly stuns
 The modest worth of the sailor boys - the lads who
 serve the guns.

But say not a word till the shot is heard that tells
 the fight is on,
 Till the long, deep roar grows more and more from
 the ships of "Yank" and "Don,"
 Till over the deep the tempests sweep of fire and
 bursting shell,
 And the very air is a mad Despair in the throes of a
 living hell;
 Then down, deep down, in the mighty ship, unseen
 by the midday suns,
 You'll find the chaps who are giving the raps - the
 men behind the guns!

Oh, well they know the cyclones blow that they
 loose from their cloud of death,
 And they know is heard the thunder-word their
 fierce ten-incher saith!
 The steel decks rock with the lighting shock, and
 shake with the great recoil,
 And the sea grows red with the blood of the dead
 and reaches for his spoil -
 But not till the foe has gone below or turns his
 prow and runs,
 Shall the voice of peace bring sweet release to the
 men behind the guns!

The U.S. Air Force Song

Off we go into the wild blue yonder,
 Climbing high into the sun;
 Here they come zooming to meet our thunder,
 At 'em boys, Give 'er the gun! (Give 'er the gun
 now!)
 Down we dive, spouting our flame from under,
 Off with one helluva roar!
 We live in fame or go down in flame. Hey!
 Nothing'll stop the U.S. Air Force!

Minds of men fashioned a crate of thunder,
 Sent it high into the blue;
 Hands of men blasted the world asunder;

How they lived God only knew! (God only knew
 then!)
 Souls of men dreaming of skies to conquer
 Gave us wings, ever to soar!
 With scouts before and bombers galore. Hey!
 Nothing'll stop the U.S. Air Force!

Here's a toast to the host
 Of those who love the vastness of the sky,
 To a friend we send a message of his brother men
 who fly.
 We drink to those who gave their all of old,
 Then down we roar to score the rainbow's pot of
 gold.
 A toast to the host of men we boast, the U.S. Air
 Force!

Off we go into the wild sky yonder,
 Keep the wings level and true;
 If you'd live to be a grey-haired wonder
 Keep the nose out of the blue! (Out of the blue,
 boy!)
 Flying men, guarding the nation's border,
 We'll be there, followed by more!
 In echelon we carry on. Hey!
 Nothing'll stop the U.S. Air Force!

The Unknown Soldier

By Billy Rose

*For those who gave their all, so that we never
 forget.....*

There's a graveyard near the White House,
 where the Unknown Soldier lies.
 The flowers there are sprinkled,
 with the tears from a Mother's eyes.

I stood there not so long ago,
 with roses for the brave,
 and suddenly I heard a voice
 speak out from far beyond the grave.

"I'm the Unknown Soldier,"
 the spirit voice began,
 "And I think I have the right,
 to ask some questions man to man.

"Are my buddies taken care of?
 Was their victory so sweet?
 Is that big reward you offered,
 selling pencils on the street?

"Did they really win the freedom

they battled so hard to achieve?
Do you still respect that Croix de Guerre
above that empty sleeve?

“Does that gold star in the window
now mean anything at all?
I wonder how my old girl feels,
when she hears a bugle call?

“And that baby who sang
'Hello Central, give me no man's land -'
can they replace her daddy,
with a military band?

"I wonder if the profiteers
have satisfied their greed?
I wonder if a Soldiers Mother,
ever is in need?

“I wonder if the kings who planned it all,
are really satisfied?
They played their game of checkers,
and eleven million died.

“I'm the Unknown Soldier,
and maybe I died in vain.
But if I were alive and my Country called,
I'd do it all over again."

To all of our readers who have served or are serving in the Armed Forces of the United States of America, we here at *Conservative Underground* wish to say “Thank you, and God bless you for your service!”